

Data Science | 2017-2018 Assessment Report

UW-Extension Collaborative Program Assessment Report

Review and Summarize Status of Actions from Previous Assessment Report(s):

FROM PREVIOUS REPORT: The previous report recommended further refining assessments and establishing baselines. Academic directors have refined their program assessment plan and cycle and specific direct assessment activities, particularly in DS 730. DS 705 has recently undergone revision and the ambiguous 6th scenario may have been addressed there.

Piazza was used more widely as a discussion tool in the fall semester to address issues students reported in course evaluations on the usefulness of discussions. However, instructor engagement continues to be a theme.

Based on PM review of the course evaluation and faculty provided direct assessment data, key considerations for discussion might be:

- How recent revisions to 700 might have addressed struggles students consistently had with quizzes and assignments.
- Establishing guidelines for instructors with regard to expectations for instructor engagement and timeliness
- How overall course grades relate to the competencies measure in each class and what role these play in assessment.
- Has expanded use of piazza helped facility more useful course discussions

Primary Assessment – Program Student Learning Outcomes (Direct Measures – % of students earning xx% or more on PSLO assignment) – included grades and direct assessment measures as per AD request.

Course &	Competency /	Means of Evaluation / Evidence	Results				Recommended Actions for Discussion
Semester	Outcome						(Sources: PM and Faculty)
	Targeted						
DS 705	Students will	A matching problem requiring	Number	Spring	Summer	Fall	Possible Improvements: The choice
	be able to	students to choose the correct	Correct	2017	2017	2017	of procedure for the sixth scenario
	choose and	statistical procedure was		Frequency	Frequency	Frequency	above is somewhat ambiguous and
	apply tools	included on the final	0	0	0	1	requires an unstated assumption.
	and	examination. 5 or more					This should be cleaned up.

	methodologie	correct was considered	1	2	0	1	Landan Community A Liti
	s to solve data	competent.	2	2	0	2	Instructor Comments: A matching
	science tasks.		3	7	2	3	scenario to procedures problem is a higher-order task than simply
			4	7	2	6	working computational problems
			5	15	11	7	and is usually quite challenging for
			6	17	13	12	students. It is disappointing that
			7	19	11	7	even a few students finish the course
			Total	69	40	39	while being able to correctly match
			Students				just 3 or fewer scenarios. While
			Average	5.3	5.6	5.0	small versions of this matching
			Number Correct				problem, limited to just a few procedures, are given as formative
			Percent	74%	90%	67%	assessments each week, <i>we should</i>
			Scoring 5				consider giving larger versions of this
			or above				problems on the first two midterm
					•	<u> </u>	exams.
			In Fall 2017,	67% of stu	dents exhibit	ed competency.	
DS 730	Students will	rs will The final project is a large Of the 47 students in the course who submitte					Some students struggled to find an
	be able to	project that requires the	final project	, 18 receive	ed a score of	90% or above	appropriately sized dataset. Others
	collect, clean	students to solve many	and 27 rece	ived a score	e above 80%.	The average	clearly spent little time on the
	and prepare	different data science tasks. In	score was a	round 79%.			problem and just asked basic
	data.	most of the problems				questions about the dataset. In the	
		·				over half of	future, I will suggest more places to
						dents exhibited competency.	
		to answer a question based on					some kind of "precheck" to ensure
		the supplied data. However,					the questions they are asking of the
		on the final project, the					data is sufficiently hard.
		students were asked to collect					
		a large amount of data on a					PM: Discussion from the meeting
		topic they were interested in.					indicated that students may actually
		The data could have come					be competent, but that there
		from any source and therefore					performance may not have indicated
		the cleanliness of the data was					it in this case due to a lack of effort
		in question. The students had					on this vs. other tasks in the final
		to ensure that all of the data					exam. Discussion also surrounded
		was clean so they could run					modifying the assessment so that it is
		queries on it. Once the data					more clear cut: either incorporating a

was cleaned and in a good	task similar to the one in 705 or
format, they had to ask and	clarifying in some other way.
answer questions of that data.	
	AD / Instructor will send a revised /
	rubric activity to measure the
	competency.